HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
Affirmatively further[ing] fair housing in accordance with Chapter 15 (commer	ncing with Section 8899.50) of Division 1 of	
Title 2shall include an assessment of fair housing in the jurisdiction. (Gov. Co	de, § 65583, subd. (c)(10)(A).)	
Identified Sites and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH): The		Page 106 – 118, including the new
element includes some discussion of sites relative to groups of socio-		Table VI-32B that identifies the
economic characteristics. For example, the element notes the proportion of		number of units by income group,
sites to accommodate housing for above moderate-income households in a		and location and impact of sites
group where less than 10 percent of the households are below the poverty		by all income groups
line. However, as noted in the prior review, the element must still analyze		
the location and impact of sites by all income groups. For example, the		
element could evaluate the number of units by income group by census		
tract or neighborhood. This analysis should also specifically address sites by		
all income groups by income in addition to poverty. Based on this analysis,		
the element should then address any isolation of the regional housing need		
allocation (RHNA) and formulate appropriate policies and programs to foster		
more inclusive communities.		
Local Data and Knowledge: While the element now includes some discussion		New section called "Land Use and
of historical development patterns and racial exclusion for significant		Zoning Practices" added on page
portion of the 20th century, it should include additional discussion of land		101.
use practices including zoning, growth controls, height initiatives and any		
other practices that affect housing choices since the latter half of the 20th		
century. This information should complement the discussion of the socio-		
economic patterns within the City and the City relative to the region and		
based on a complete analysis, the element should formulate appropriate		
policies and programs to combat past patterns and impacts on inclusive		
communities.		
Contributing Factors to Fair Housing Issues: The element should re-assess		Prioritization added to Table VI-33
and prioritize contributing factors based upon a complete analysis.		on page 121 two programs added
		to first identified issue
Goals, Actions, Metrics, and Milestones: The element must be revised to add		AFFH-specific modifications made
or modify goals and actions based on the outcomes of a complete analysis.		to programs 1b, 1c, 1e, 2a, 2b,
Goals and actions must specifically respond to the analysis and to the		2,c, 2d, 2h, 2i, 2j, 2k, 3a, 3b, 3f,
identified and prioritized contributing factors to fair housing issues and must		3g, 3i, 3k, 3m, 4d, 4e, 5a, and 5c.
be significant and meaningful enough to overcome identified patterns and		Specific revisions made to address
trends. Actions must have specific commitment, metrics, milestones and		the South Pasadena AFFH
geographic targeting and must address housing mobility enhancement, new		Program matrix received from
housing choices and affordability in high opportunity areas, place-based		HCD on August 9, 2022

HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
strategies for community preservation and revitalization and displacement protection.		
An inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, included and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to designated income level, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and publicode, § 65583, subd. (a)(3).)	meet the locality's housing need for a	
Realistic Capacity: As found in the prior review, due to recent legislation (SB 9), the element assumes 100 percent of larger (greater than 0.2 acres) vacant sites will double in capacity and should support these assumptions. In response, the element states the City has received a fair amount of interest but has not received any SB 9 related applications. There is no discussion of what a fair amount of interest means or whether that interest is related to the assumptions of larger vacant sites. As a result, the element should still include information to support this assumption. For example, the City could survey owners or rescale assumptions with enhanced policies and programs, including monitoring and alternative actions, to encourage development consistent with recent legislation.		The realistic capacity assumptions related to SB 9 in single-family zoned areas has been removed.
Suitability of Nonvacant Sites: As found in the prior review, the element must include additional discussion of recent experience in redevelopment and either remove sites or include additional analysis on the extent existing uses impeded additional development. In response, the element does not remove sites and added little to no discussion of existing uses. In addition, HCD has considered significant comments indicating that existing uses impede additional development on many sites, including those noted in the prior review. The element must address HCD's prior finding as well as public comments regarding the extent existing uses impede additional development. For example, Sites 3, 5, 6, 8, 10 should include specific information, such as concrete evidence of owner interest, as well as analysis on how given land use constraints such as height limits and the inclusionary zoning requirements may make development infeasible on sites. For site 9, the element should include evidence development is cleared by Edison. Additional sites warranting evidence the uses will likely discontinue include sites 2, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24. In some cases, some sites, have had recent renovations, plans on future renovations, new businesses with new leases, healthy and necessary businesses such as grocery stores and malls that serve large populations with busy parking lots. The element		Starting on page 180 (Table VI-50), and in Appendix A.

HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
should include information addresses these uses and how redevelopment is		
likely in the planning period.		
In addition, because the housing element relies upon nonvacant sites to		
accommodate more than 50 percent of the RHNA for lower-income		
households, it must demonstrate existing uses are not an impediment to		
additional residential development and will likely discontinue in the planning		
period. (Gov. Code, § 65583.2, subd. (g)(2).) Absent findings (e.g., adoption		
resolution) based on substantial evidence, the existing uses will be		
presumed to impede additional residential development and will not be		
utilized toward demonstrating adequate sites to accommodate the RHNA.		
Small Sites: Sites smaller than half an acre are deemed inadequate to		Page 179
accommodate housing for lower-income households unless it is		
demonstrated, with sufficient evidence, that sites are suitable to		
accommodate housing for lower-income households. While the City's		
response document includes some discussion of small sites and common		
ownership, this information should be incorporated into the element and		
programs should be modified as necessary to address the additional		
discussion.		
<u>City-owned Sites</u> : While the element now includes a program to facilitate		Appendix A, page A1-38
development on City-owned sites and coordinate with property owners, it		Page 338
should still discuss whether existing uses impede additional development		
and any known conditions that preclude development in the planning		
period. In addition, the housing element must include a description of		
whether there are any plans to dispose of the properties during the planning		
period and how the jurisdiction will comply with the Surplus Land Act Article		
8 (commencing with Section 54220) of Chapter 5 of Part 1 of Division 2 of		
Title 5.		
Environmental Constraints: As discussed in our previous letter, the element		References to environmental
notes many parcels are impacted by environmental constraints and		constraints have been added to
sensitivities and generally describes a few environmental conditions in some		Table VI-44 and 50 and in sites
detail, such as slopes, within the City. However, the element must relate		description in Appendix A.
those conditions to identified sites and describe any other known		
environmental or other conditions that could impact housing development		
on identified sites in the planning period. For example, the analysis on sites		

HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
on steep slopes should include trends and examples of homes being built on		
these sites as well as why these sites have not been redeveloped.		
Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs): As noted in the prior, the element should		Page 172 – 174 have been
either adjust projections downward based actual on the average number of		updated as well as Table VI-46
ADU permitted since 2018 (approximately 10 units per year) or include		and 47 with more recent ADU
additional analysis and policies and programs. In response, the element		numbers and recent development
continues similar projections, but revisions made available note some more		trends.
recent trends. The element should be updated and projections should be		
scaled based on the recent trends. In addition, the City's records differ from		
HCD's ADU records and should be reconciled and, while HCD is supportive of		
regional affordability analysis, the element should also address public		
comments regarding HCD's prior finding on ADU affordability.		
<u>Electronic Sites Inventory</u> : For your information, pursuant to Government		Will be completed upon adoption
Code section 65583.3, the City must submit an electronic sites inventory		of the Housing Element.
with its adopted housing element. The City must utilize standards, forms,		
and definitions adopted by HCD. This is especially important for determining		
sites that have been utilized in multiple planning periods and are subject to		
by-right provisions. Please see HCD's housing element webpage at		
https://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-		
element/index.shtml#element for a copy of the form and instructions. The		
City can reach out to HCD at sitesinventory@hcd.ca.gov for technical		
assistance.		
An analysis of potential and actual governmental constraints upon the mainte	nance, improvement, or development of	
housing for all income levels, including the types of housing identified in parag	raph (1) of subdivision (c), and for persons	
with disabilities as identified in the analysis pursuant to paragraph (7), includi	ng land use controls, building codes and	
their enforcement, site improvements, fees and other exactions required of de	velopers, and local processing and permit	
procedures (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(5).)		
Land-Use Controls: The prior review found the element must analyze,		Pages 132
among other things multifamily parking garages, heights and open space and		
must address how development standards will facilitate achieving maximum		
allowable densities under the proposed overlay zones. In response, the		
element now identifies these standards but contains little to no analysis as		
described in the prior review. The revisions made available June 29, 2022,		
state these standards will be revised as part of Program 3.A but the program		
contains ambiguous commitment and generally states the standards "may"		
need revising. The element must include specific analysis as part of the		

HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
housing element update and revise programs with specific commitments to		
address identified constraints, including heights. The City should engage the		
development community as part of this analysis. Please see HCD's prior		
review for additional information.		
Processing and Permit Procedures: While the element now lists approval		Pages 143, 144
findings for various procedures, it must analyze these findings for impacts on		
housing cost, timing and approval certainty and include specific		
commitment to address identified constraints.		
Other Local Ordinances: While the element now describes the inclusionary		The Housing Element added
housing requirement and local height initiative, it generally does not analyze		Program 2.m to revise
the impacts on housing cost, supply and ability to achieve maximum		inclusionary housing requirement.
densities, including densities proposed as part of this housing element. For		
example, the analysis of the inclusionary requirement should, among other		
items, address the 20 percent requirement and cost impacts, 10 unit		
threshold, in lieu fees and cost of a comparable unit and how the inclusionary relates to State Density Bonus Law. The City should engage the		
development community as part of this analysis. Please see HCD's prior		
review for additional information.		
Identify actions that will be taken to make sites available during the planning p	eriod with appropriate zoning and	
development standards and with services and facilities to accommodate that p	,, ,	
regional housing need for each income level that could not be accommodated		
completed pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) without rezoning (Go	•	
As noted in Finding A2, the element does not include a complete site	1. 2002) 3000, 3000. (2)(1).)	Program 3.b, Program 3.d and
analysis, therefore, the adequacy of sites and zoning were not established.		Program 3.f were all updated.
Based on the results of a complete sites inventory and analysis, the City may		Trogram on were an apaacear
need to add or revise programs to address a shortfall of sites or zoning		
available to encourage a variety of housing types. In addition, the element		
should be revised as follows:		
 Program 3.b (Mixed-use Development): As noted in the prior review, 		
the Program should be revised with additional incentives or other		
strategies based on a complete analysis of nonvacant sites and		
realistic capacity assumptions.		
Program 3.d (Enable Parcel Assemblage): The Program should be		
modified based on a complete analysis of small sites. In addition, the		
program should consider additional incentives and more frequent		
review and revision.		

HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
Program 3.f (ADU): While the program commits to review		
regulations in December 2023, it should commit to an earlier		
timeframe if comments are received from HCD on the City's recently		
amended ordinance.		
Address and, where appropriate and legally possible, remove governmental ar	_	
maintenance, improvement, and development of housing, including housing fo		
with disabilities. The program shall remove constraints to, and provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed		
for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with di	sabilities. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(3).)	
As noted in Finding A3, the element requires a complete analysis of		Page 132
potential governmental and nongovernmental constraints. Depending upon		
the results of that analysis, the City may need to revise or add programs and		
address and remove or mitigate any identified constraints.		
Promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities and promote hou		
communities for all persons regardless of race, religion, sex, marital status, and	cestry, national origin, color, familial status,	
or disability, and other characteristics (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(5).)		
As noted in Finding A1, the element requires a complete analysis of AFFH	The third draft Housing Element does not	AFFH-specific modifications made
Depending upon the results of that analysis, the City must revise or add	include an new analysis of AFFH related	to programs 1b, 1c, 1e, 2a, 2b,
programs.	data that was not included in the second	2,c, 2d, 2h, 2i, 2j, 2k, 3a, 3b, 3f,
	draft. The Housing Element is	3g, 3i, 3k, 3m, 4d, 4e, 5a, and 5c.
	unresponsive to this comment.	Specific revisions made to address
		the South Pasadena AFFH
		Program matrix received from
		HCD on August 9, 2022
Establish the number of housing units, by income level, that can be constructed	d, rehabilitated, and conserved over a five-	
year time frame. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (b) (1 & 2).)		
The prior review found the element could consider conservation objectives		Further clarification was included
beyond 5 units in the planning period. In response, the City adjusted its		in Table VI-56 on page 244.
target to 20 units. HCD encourages the City to target a higher impact for the		
8 year planning period.		
Local governments shall make a diligent effort to achieve public participation of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
in the development of the Housing Element, and the element shall describe thi	s effort. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd.(c)(8).)	
While the element describes comments and generally addresses comments,		Public Outreach meeting have
in some cases, comments do not appear incorporated into the housing		been summarized and public
element. The element should re-evaluate these comments and new		comments have been
comments received and incorporate those comments where appropriate.		documented in addressed in
For example, HCD has considered many comments on identified sites as well		Appendix B.

HCD Comment	Comment Response	Revision Pages
as implementation of key mobility strategies such as the bike master plan		
that should be addressed and incorporated into the element.		
In addition, public participation in the development, adoption and implementation of the housing element is essential to effective housing planning. During the housing element revision process, the City must continue to engage the community, including organizations that represent lower-income and special needs households, by making information regularly available while considering and incorporating comments where appropriate.		