Additional Documents

July 7, 2025 NREC Special Meeting

Additional Documents

ITEM 2: Tree Ordinance Update Review



CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA PUBLIC WORKS

Tree Ordinance Revision

Natural Resources & Environmental Commission JULY 7, 2025



ORDINANCE REVIEW

- Review current ordinance and research neighboring city's similar policies and practices
- Establish recommendations for updating tree ordinance
- Specific direction from City Council to streamline the current tree removal/trimming permitting process
- Continually find ways to reflect the city's commitment to preserving its urban forest







IDENTIFIED CHALLENGES

- Ambiguous definitions & language
- Outdated removal criteria
- Cumbersome permitting process
- Unrealistic replacement requirements
- Constrained enforcement tools



AVERAGE **MARKET COST OF 24 INCH BOX TREE**

ESTIMATED TIME TO RECEIVE PERMIT

2025 PERMITS AWARDED

CURRENT COSTS FOR PERMITS

52

\$141 - Inspection \$364/\$504 – Permit Fee **\$424 - Replacement**

\$240 - \$600 *Including labor to plant

1-6 months

City of SOUTH PASADENA

EXISTING REMOVAL CRITERIA SOUTH PASADENA MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 34 - TREES & SHRUBS

PUBLIC WORKS





EXISTING CRITERIA [SECTION 34.11]

(1) Where the tree poses a **reasonable** risk of injury or harm to persons or property or is **substantially** interfering with the structural integrity or the use of an existing structure (including, but not limited to, a fence or wall), swimming pool, or building **and there is no feasible and reasonable alternative to mitigate the interference.**

(2) Where, upon taking into account the **size**, **shape**, **topography** and existing trees upon the lot, the denial of the permit would create an **unreasonable hardship** on the property owner. Redesign of any proposed development as an alternative to removal of an existing protected tree does not create **an unreasonable hardship**.

(3) Where a written determination has been made by a certified arborist, after a visual inspection or scientific evaluation, that the tree is so diseased or damaged that it is no longer viable or is a threat to persons or property, including to other trees. The director or commission may waive the requirement for a certified arborist's written statement when the tree can reasonably be determined to be dead by a layperson's visual inspection or when, after conducting an inspection of the tree, the director determines that the tree poses an obvious and imminent threat to life or property.

(4) For the removal of a significant or mature tree, where the proposed replacement tree(s) **are of greater value or provide greater benefits** than the tree proposed for removal.



PROPOSED REMOVAL CRITERIA

SOUTH PASADENA MUNICIPAL CODE **CHAPTER 34 - TREES & SHRUBS**

(1) Where the tree poses a risk of injury or harm to persons or property.

(2) Where the tree is interfering with the structural integrity or the use of an existing structure.

(3) Where the tree is dead, dying, or diseased. In such cases, only one replacement tree is required.

(4) Where the tree is preventing a property owner from renewing homeowner's insurance and the property owner has provided evidence of a letter of cancellation.

(5) Where a written determination has been issued by the Fire Chief or the Chief's designee that removal of the tree is necessary to achieve or maintain the defensible-space clearance required.







STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Align ordinance with **City's existing** Water-Efficient Landscape Ordinance and State standards.
- 2. Include language on optimal seasonal timing for trimming "protected tree" species.
- 3. Clearly **distinguish heritage trees** within the "protected tree" category.
- City has **adopted by resolution 7360** to be considered native. **Revise tree** trimming & removal permits to only be required for this category of "protected" trees.
- 5. Limit tree removal/trimming notification letters to cases when the Director denies an application and an appeal is filed or for instances when the removal/trimming is **associated with land development**.

4. Redefine the category of "protected" trees to align with the species of trees the

6. Integrate additional removal criteria to address fire risk and insurance factors.



STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7. Eliminate **removal criteria** related to the **unreasonable hardship** to property owner by denial of the permit (34.11(a)(2)).
- 8. Eliminate **removal criteria** related to the **replacement trees being of greater value or benefit** (34.11(a)(4)).
- 9. Revise the number of required replacement trees for all tree removal applications. Allow **flexibility in replacement size** and require replacement trees to be "protected" species only.
- 10. Revise the ordinance to ensure that fees related to appeals for tree related permits are incurred by the appellant.
- 11. Revise ordinance to eliminate subjective criteria in violation assessments, enabling staff to apply enforcement consistently and fairly.
- 12. Amend the ordinance to exempt the City and its contractors from the requirement to obtain tree removal or trimming permits.





The goal of these items is to provide supplementary support along side the tree ordinance update and aim to improve clarity for residents as they navigate permitting.

- Create guideline/check list to streamline permitting criteria for trimming and removal, providing clear guidelines early in the process.
- Utilize existing **City communication** channels to promote tree care best practices.
- Develop a comprehensive Urban Forest Management Plan.





PROPOSED TIMELINE

- MAY 27: NREC
- **JUNE 9: Public Safety Commission**
- **JUNE 11: Public Works Commission**
- **JULY 7: NREC** [Review of Final Staff Recommendations]
- **JULY 16**: Council Update [Commission Advisement]
- **LATE SUMMER 2025**: First Reading of Draft Ordinance
- FALL 2025: Second Reading of Ordinance
- WINTER 2026: Ordinance in Effect

